



VGU School of Law, Vivekananda Global University Jaipur in collaboration with Ranka Public Charitable Trust presents

1st VGU RANKA NATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION - 2021

23rd - 25th October, 2021



moot.vguschooloflaw@gmail.com

About the Event

In these times, while we are combating the crisis which has precariously driven us to the edge of our existence, we at the VGU School of Law, Vivekananda Global University are committed to a show of cooperative and collective resilience. Hence, we have planned to organise its 1st VGU-Ranka Competition National Moot Court to provide environment where aspiring lawyers can enhance their legal proficiencies and mooting skills with a unique concept. We are looking for the participation of law students enrolled in the 5-year integrated programme and 3 year LLB programme with the aim to inspire, promote, and inculcate drafting & writing techniques, oratory and research skills. The competition strives to give a platform to the budding lawyers of our country to imbibe analytical skills in real-time situations. The aim is to engage with students and allow them to have the first-hand experience of the complexities of the law.



About the University

Vivekananda Global University is a private university located in Jaipur, Rajasthan in India. It was established under the Act No.11/2012 of the Government of Rajasthan and is sponsored by Bagaria Education Trust, Jaipur. The University firmly believes in the words of the great philosopher and educator Swami Vivekananda whose philosophy strive us to become better with the time. In recent years VGU has received numerous of recognition and titles in category of education, research & building sustainability.

The mission of the university is to promote quality education, innovation, training, research, consultancy and enhance employability and entrepreneurial skills of our students. With the view to promote human advancement, the university aims to integrate industry with academics in order to prepare students for the world of work.



About the Department

VGU School of Law, Vivekananda Global University Jaipur (VGU), started in the year 2017 has grown in leaps and bounds and has strength of 500+ students at present. The Vivekananda Global University Jaipur forayed into the field of legal education by introducing Integrated BA LL.B. BBA LL.B. Bachelor of Law (LL.B.), Master of Law (LL.M.), and Ph.D. programs in the study. As an Institution of excellence. VGU School of Law is dedicated to fostering and inculcating the intellectual and ethical values in the students. The VGU School of Law is committed to the evolution of law as an instrument of social change efforts are being made to expose students to practical realities. The teaching methods for the programs offered involve adopted lectures. discussions, case studies. Moot Courts, and project work that will facilitate students to promote multi-disciplinary inquiry and practical application of problems. The School has been approved by the BAR Council of India.



BEFORE THE HON'BLE SUPREME COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF EL DORADO

IN THE MATTER OF:-

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1/2021

SEBASTIAN ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

NARCOTICS CONTROL BUREAU OF SAN LORONZO ...RESPONDENT NO. 1

EL DORADO MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS ...RESPONDENT NO. 2

COMPROMISE

"We must send the message that if you use illegal drugs, you will pay the ultimate price by not playing an entire season. And if you get caught again, you will be banished for life."

By - Jim Bunning

"A significant factor often overlooked by those considering the failure of drug policy is the general aim of deflecting or assigning responsibility away from the policy-makers and the 'moral-majority."

By - Daniel Waterman



FACTUAL BACKGROUND:-

That the present writ petition is filed by the Petitioner for calling of the records of the Narcotics Petitioner Bureau of San Lorenzo (hereinafter referred as NCB of San Lorenzo) relating to forwarding a letter to El Dorado Bureau of Immigration vide Letter No. 01/NCB/SANLORENZO/2021-0001-0001 dated 01.05.2021 with the request to issue Look-out Circular in the name of Mr. Sebastian in NDPS Case No. 01 of 2020 under the provision of section 8(c) r/w 29, 22a, 22c and 27 of the Narcotics Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act, 1985 (hereinafter referred as NDPC Act, 1985) pending before Ld. District & Sessions Judge, San Lorenzo.

Accordingly, Respondent No.2 opened a *Look-out Circular* in the name of Mr. Sebastian *Vide* LOC Suspect No. 54321/2021 in purported exercise of the powers conferred under section 10B of the *Passport Act*, 1967.

That the case of the NCB of San Lorenzo is based on specific information after which its officers apprehended two persons including one Nick Adams who was to deliver substantial quantity of LSD blots and some quantity of MDMA, Psychotropic Substances covered under NDPS Act 1985 to one Sir Anthony Absolute, of San Lorenzo near Olive Club, San Lorenzo on 31.12.2019 at around 00.30 hrs in night.

The officers of NCB of San Lorenzo approached two persons to act as public witnesses and informed them about the input available with them. At about 00.30 hrs in night, a BBT (Big Boy Toys) Car bearing No. SL-BBT-1111 approaching seen spot. was Immediately, the NCB of San Lorenzo team along with independent encircled the witnesses two abovementioned car and put the two individuals in question. NCB of San Lorenzo officials disclosed their identity by showing their ID Cards and upon enquiry it was revealed that the two persons are Nick Adams & Sir Anthony Absolute. The officials of NCB of San Lorenzo relayed the information available with them and enquired as to whether they were in possession of any NDPS material, to which they both replied in affirmative.

The officials of *NCB of San Lorenzo* served upon the two men Notice under Section 50 of the *NDPS Act* separately and explained them the said provision under which they had a legal right to be searched before any Magistrate or Gazetted officer empowered under Section 42 of the



Nick Adams handed over the White/Green Gucci laptop bag that he had in his hands containing the transparent polythene zip bags to the NCB of San Lorenzo Officers and both Nick Adams and Sir Anthony Absolute passed on to the officers the contents of their respective pant/ shirt pockets and later displayed their empty packets too. The bag which was handed over to the officers of NCB of San Lorenzo by Nick Adams contained substance which appeared to be blots of LSD, Some off white crystalline substance and multiple Q Dance tablets. The said substances were weighed and tested with a Drug Field testing Kit which tested positive and the same were sealed along with other items recovered from Nick Adams and Sir Anthony Absolute. Thereafter a search and seizure was recorded by the Seizing officer on the spot. According to the prosecution, Nick Adams & Sir Anthony Absolute tendered their Reply under Section 67 of the NDPS Act where they admitted their role of illegal possession and trafficking of psychotropic substances that were seized by NCB of San Lorenzo and committed an offence under Section 22(c), 25, 28 & 29 of the NDPS Act.

That petitioner states that a notice under Section 67 of the NDPS Act was served upon accused Nick Adams and in its reply, he named Harry Angstrom, Dr. Aziz, Lady Brett Ashley and Jake Barnes is involved in trafficking of drugs.

He also disclose that one person namely Harry Angstrom of San Lorenzo, having Mobile No. 9870000000 was involved in trafficking of seized drugs and his role was limited to pay the amount against the consignment in Bitcoin for purchasing drugs from the Mafia. Thereafter, Harry Angstrom was served a notice to appear and depose his voluntary statement before the I.O. Consequently, Harry Angstrom appeared before the I.O for interrogation on 31.01.2020 and was released after interrogation and he has not been made an accused as per the complaint filed by NCB of San Lorenzo As per information available with NCB of San Lorenzo Harry Angstrom deposited cash through Cash deposit machine situated at Olive Club Branch in the bank account of Dr. Aziz's Swiss Account for providing drugs.

That in reply to a notice under Section 67 of the NDPS Act served to Dr. Aziz, he appeared before the IO and deposed his voluntary statement on 28.02.2020 wherein Dr. Aziz confessed that he is the person who provided MDMA Drugs to Harry Angstrom through one of his friend namely Sebastian (hereinafter referred as Petitioner). Further allegations are there that Dr. Aziz transferred money to his friend Sebastian's Swiss account 9081726354 for further order and delivery to Harry Angstrom and as a middleman Dr. Aziz gained monetary benefit of 800-1000 dollars per gram of MDMA.

Dr. Aziz also revealed that Sebastian used to deliver MDMA, LSD to Harry Angstrom from one of his Mafia vendor of drugs available at *San Marcos* and vendor of *San Marcos* further shipped the consignment to Harry Angstrom at *San Lorenzo* through Heaven Courier service.

That an enquiry was initiated with Heaven Courier office, San Lorenzo branch and it was revealed that three consignments were delivered to Harry Angstrom which was supplied from San Marcos and one of the consignments were returned back after arrest of Harry Angstrom and found hat the consignor of the said parcel was one Nick Carter. Further a follow up action was conducted by NCB of San Lorenzo team with the help of NCB team, San Marcos and search of the residential premise of Nick Carter was conducted where from MDMA drug was seized. On the spot interrogation of Nick Carter confessed that he has procured drugs through Mafia (Drug Market) from foreign countries and further supplied to different persons in Republic of EL Dorado including Harry Angstrom of San Lorenzo. The seized drugs were sealed and a search cum seizure list was prepared. Further a notice under Section 67 NDPS Act was served upon accused Nick Carter and in the reply to notice under Section 67 of the NDPS Act, accused Nick Carter, stated that he was a vendor of Drugs i.e. MDMA & LSD and other types of drugs at Mafia i.e. online drug market.

He used to purchase drug from international market at Mafia by using his mobile phone internet and for that he installed application namely "DRUG-PLEASURE" which provides direct access to the illegal online drug market and also encrypted his chat/deal. Further on the direction of one Sebastian, Nick Carter shipped drugs to Harry Angstrom of San Lorenzo who was already arrested in this case. Sebastian and Nick Carter used to communicate through encrypted chat of "DRUG-PLEASURE" and Sebastian used to send money through "BITCOIN" to Nick Carter.

On 30.04.2020, an arrest warrant was issued against the Petitioner by the Ld. Special Judge, NDPS Court, San Lorenzo in the NDPS Case No. 001/2020 under the provision of section 8(c) r/w 29, 22a, 22c and 27 of the NDPS Act On 01.05.2021, the officers of Narcotics Control Bureau of San Lorenzo forwarded a Letter bearing No. 01/NCB/SANLORENZO/2021-0001-0001 with request to issue a Look Out Circular in the name of the Petitioner and accordingly Respondent No.2 opened a Look-Out Circular vide LOC Suspect No. 54321/2021.

That after issuance of Look-Out Circular dated 01.05.2021, notice under Section 67 of the NDPS Act was issued to the Petitioner to appear before IO on 30.05.2021 at NCB of San Lorenzo and the same was served to Mr. Martin who is petitioner's brother-in-law on 20.05.2021.

As the Petitioner and his family had shifted to *Republic of Florin* they were not in contact with any of their relative back in *San Lorenzo*, *Republic of El Dorado* and after a few days when his brother-in-law managed to contact the petitioner's, he came to know about the case and such coercive order of issuance of a Look-Out Circular passed against him by the *El Dorado Ministry of Home Affairs*.

Hence, aggrieved by the impugned order dated 01.05.2021 passed by the *El Dorado Ministry of Home Affairs*. writ petition is filed by the Petitioner before the *Hon'ble Supreme Court of the Republic of El Dorado* for the adjudication of the issues raised by the petitioners in the present matter.

Proceeding through VC before Chamber Judge of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of the Republic of El Dorado:-

Taking into consideration, the issue(s) raised by the Petitioner in the present matter and Covid-19 pandemic situation the Chamber Judge of the Hon*Hon'ble Supreme Court of the Republic of El Dorado* directed the registry to list the matter before the Hon'ble Court on 1st October, 2021 for final disposal at the admission stage and requested both the parties to remain present through VC for final hearing before the Hon'ble Court.

In the present Writ Petition following question of law are before the *Hon'ble Supreme Court of the Republic of El Dorado* for adjudication:-

- 1) Whether the present Writ Petition filed by the Petitioner before the *Hon'ble Supreme Court of the Republic of El Dorado* is maintainable or not?
- 2) Whether the issuance of Look-Out notice by the *EL Dorado Bureau of Immigration* is bad in the eyes of law and violates Article 21, 14 and 19(d) of the Constitution of the *Republic of EL Dorado?*
- Both parties (Petitioner and Respondents) are required to raise one additional issue of their choice (Compulsory).

NOTE:-

Participants are requested to argue on the side of the Petitioner as well as on the side of the Respondents before the "Hon'ble Supreme Court of the Republic of EL Dorado" pertaining to the above case and further elucidate the arguments with appropriate case laws and inputs.

- The laws of the Republic of El Dorado are pari material with laws of the India. The Republic of El Dorado considers the leading common law precedents as being highly persuasive. Hence, all the relevant ancient, modern, texts of Indian laws may be referred for arguing the case.
- This Moot Problem has been formulated solely for the purpose of this competition for furthering the academic exercise only.



1. Aim and purpose

- (i) To give exposure to students pursuing the law course to the environment of the court system in India and to hone their.advocacy skills.
- (ii) To provide a real-life experience cum training in doing cutting edge research, presenting groundbreaking arguments, and contributing to the development of jurisprudence in concerned areas of law.

2. Date and Venue

October 23rd - 25th, 2021, Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur

3. Language

(i) The Competition shall be conducted in English language only.

4. Eligibility

(i) The Competition shall be open for students who are pursuing three or five-year LL.B. Degree Course in Universities/Colleges/Law Departments in India.

5. Team Competition

- (i) Only one team from each participating institution shall be registered for the competition.
- (ii) Each team shall consist of three members. This team composition cannot be altered under any circumstances.
- (iii) Every team shall consist of two speakers and one researcher. No additional member can be part of a team in any capacity. If any fourth member is accompanying the team, he/she will not be allowed to sit and observe the oral rounds, will not be provided accommodation/food and will not be given a certificate by the organizers under any circumstances whatsoever.

6. Registeration

(i) Registration shall be done on Or before 10th October, 2021 by clicking on the link given below.

https://forms.gle/BRktJvSyzjd5UrFN6

(ii) A registration fee of Rs. 3000/- is to be sent by following

ways :-

Payee Account Details:

Account no.- 163001001405

IFSC Code - ICIC0001630

Bank name: ICICI BANK

Account Holder Name: Priyanka Saraogi

Google Pay-9783760083 Phone Pay: 9783760083

- (iii) No change in the names of the participants shall be permitted after the completion of the registration process, except at the sole discretion of the Organizers.
- (iv) Teams should clearly mention the details asked in the google form and must Compulsorily attach the receipt of the payment.
- (v) Teams having queries pertaining to registration process can ask their queries either by contacting student coordinators Or by sending their queries on moot.vguschooloflaw@gmail.com

7. Food & Accomodation

(i) The organising committee will provide accommodation to the teams coming from outside jaipur and meals to all members of the participating teams for the duration of the competition, where it is required.

8. Team Code

(i) Each team shall be assigned a team code via mail after the process of registration.

9. Dress Code

(i) The dress code for the competition is western formal. Men are required to wear white shirt, black trousers, black tie along with black coat. Women are required to wear white salwar kurta & Dupatta or white shirt and black trousers along with black coat and tie.

The dress code for all the functions at the competition's shall be western formals for gentlemen and western or Indian formals for ladies.

10. Scouting

(i) Teams shall not be allowed to observe the oral rounds of any other team.

Scouting is strictly prohibited. Scouting by any team member shall entail instant disqualification of the team.

11. Clarification to Moot Cort Preposition

(i) Clarifications to the moot proposition must be sought before 11:59 P.M. on 12th October, 2021 by e-mailing to

moot.vguschooloflaw@gmail.com

There shall be two preliminary round, quarter-final, semi-final, and a final round spreading over a period of 3 days.

1. Preliminary Round

- (i) Draw of lots for preliminary rounds will be conducted on 22nd October, 2021 in the evening.
- (ii) Teams will be provided with their opponent's memorials after the draw of lots.
- (iii) Return of the other side's memorial immediately after the round to the Court clerks is compulsory.
- (iv) Preliminary round 1 will be held on 23rd October, 2021 and Preliminary round 2 will be held on 24th October, 2021.
- (v) The Preliminary Rounds shall ordinarily be judged by a panel consisting of two judges.
- (vi) There will be two preliminary rounds of arguments per team.
- (vii) No two teams shall face each other more than once in the preliminary rounds.

- (viii) No team shall face the same bench more than once. In the event that a team faces the same bench/judge more than once, they shall inform the organizers regarding the same before the first speaker is called upon the podium, failing which they shall forego their right to object at any later point in time.
 - (ix) In determining the winners of preliminary rounds the memorial scores will be added to the score of oral rounds and top 8 teams shall move to the quarter finals on the basis of the cumulative marks of the preliminary rounds if their round total is more than the opposing team.
 - (x) In the preliminary round each speaker shall be judged for a total of 100 marks. The Oral Presentation of a team would therefore be marked for a total of 200 marks. The Final Score of a team in the Preliminary Round will be Oral Round Score of the team + Memorial Score of the team.
 - (xi) Teams with two wins in the Preliminary Rounds will go to the quarter Final Rounds. If there are more than one team having two wins each, the teams with two wins each will be ranked according to the sum of their scores in both the Preliminary Rounds [as obtained after adding the memorial score], and the top teams thus ranked will be sent to the quarter final rounds.

(xii) The Speaker scoring maximum cumulative marks in preliminary rounds shall be declared as the Best Speaker of the Competition.

2. Quarter final round

- (i) Eight (8) teams shall compete in the quarter final which will be held on 24th October, 2021. Criteria for qualifying to quarter finals have been mentioned in previous clauses.
- (ii) It shall be a knock out round. The winner of each round shall move to the semi-final. Four (4) teams shall move to the semi-finals.
- (iii) The criteria of marking in this round are the aggregate total of the marks of both the speakers.
- (iv) In case of a tie between teams, in quarter finals, the aggregate of the marks of the memorials of (appellant/petitioner and respondent) of the teams shall be counted. The team with the highest marks move ahead.

3. Semi-final Round

- (i) The Semi Finals will be held on 25th OCTOBER, 2021
- (ii) Four teams shall compete in the semi-finals. The criteria of qualifying in the semi-finals have been mentioned in previous clauses.
- (iii) Teams will be provided with their opponent's memorials after the draw of lots.
- (iv) Return of the other side's memorial immediately after the round to the Court officer is compulsory.
- (v) The Semi Final shall be a knock-out round and winner of each round shall move to the final round.
- (vi) The criteria of marking in this round are the aggregate total marks of both the speakers.
- (vii) In case of a tie between teams, in semi-finals, the aggregate of total marks of the memorials of (appellant/petitioner and respondent) of the teams shall be counted. The team with the highest marks move ahead.

- (viii) The Semi Final shall ordinarily be judged by a panel consisting of two judges.
 - (ix) Memorial scores will not be added to the overall scores in the Semi Finals round. However in case of tie, the aggregate of total marks of the memorials of the teams shall be counted and the team with highest marks moves ahead.

4. Final Round

- (i) The Finals shall take place on 25th October, 2021
- (ii) There shall be one final round
- (iii) Winners of semi finals round will be qualified for finals round
- (iv) Teams will be provided with their opponent's memorials after the draw of lots.
- (v) Return of the other side's memorial immediately after the round to the Court officer is compulsory.
- (vi) The Finals shall be judged by a panel consisting of three/five judges.

- (vii) Each team will get a total of 50 minutes to present their case.
- (viii) The criteria of marking in this round are the aggregate total marks of both the speakers.
 - (ix) Memorial scores will not be added to the overall scores in the finals round.



- (i) Teams have to submit memorials for both the parties to the dispute.
- (ii) The last date for submission of softcopies of the memorial is 11:59 P.M. on 15th October, 2021
- (iii) Softcopies of the memorials are to be e-mailed at moot.vguschooloflaw@gmail.com subject as "Memorial Submission for the 1st VGU Ranka National Moot Court Competition 2021"
- (iv) The Memorials for the two sides shall be attached as two separate documents to a single e-mail communication. The two documents shall be marked as 'Memorial for Applicant' and 'Memorial for Respondent'. The text of the e-mail shall clearly indicate the team whose memorial is attached along with the e-mail.
- (v) The soft Copy of the Memorial shall be submitted in 'Read Only PDF Format'.
- (vi) Six (6) copies each of the memorial for both the sides shall be submitted by 20th October, 2021 by post at VGU School of Law, VGU campus, Sector 58, Siryawas NRI Road, Jagatpura, Jalpur-303012, Rajasthan, India.
- (vii) Delayed submission of memorials in soft copy and hard copy will result in deduction of marks.

- (viii) The hard copies of the memorials shall bear a cover page in accordance with the following colour scheme:
 - Memorial for the Petitioner Blue
 - Memorial for the Respondent Red
 - (ix) Memorials must be printed on A-4 paper with black ink and must be neatly bound.
 - (x) The font of the body of the Memorial must be in Times New Roman, size 12, with 1.5 line spacing.
- (xi) The size of footnotes, if any, must be in Times new Roman, font size 10 with line spacing of 1.5.
- (xii) Each page must have a margin of 1 inch on each sides.
- (xiii) The memorials should be covered with a plastic cover or be spiral bound or combed bound. Use of butter paper, plastic sheets, spiral binding etc. is strictly prohibited.

- (xiv) The memorials must contain the following details:
 - Cover page / Cause title.
 - Table of contents
 - List of abbreviations
 - Index of Authorities.
 - Statement of Jurisdiction.
 - Statement of Facts.
 - Statement of issues
 - Summary of Arguments.
 - Arguments / Pleadings.
 - Conclusion / Prayer
- (xv) The Index of Authorities must list all the authorities cited in the Memorial. The Index must indicate the page number(s) of the Memorial in which the authority is cited.
- (xvi) The Statement of Facts shall not exceed two pages.

 Violation of this rule shall attract a penalty of two marks per each exceeded page.

- (xvii) The Arguments Advanced shall not exceed 15 pages in any case. Violation of this rule shall attract a penalty of two marks per each exceeded page.
- (xviii) Identity of the team must not be revealed/disclosed in any manner in the memorials.
- (xix) Teams are required to follow 20th edition of the Harvard Blue Book Pattern.
- (xx) The Memorial must not contain any Annexure/ Photographs/ Sketches/Exhibits/Affidavits etc.
- (xxi) No amendments can be made after the submission of the soft copy of the memorial.
- (xxii) Soft and hard copy of the memorials shall be identical.

 Modifications/additions/alterations shall attract

 penalty / disqualification.

Marking criteria for memorials

- (i) Memorial from each side shall carry a total of hundred (100) marks.
- (ii) The following shall be the criteria for marking the memorial:

Sr.No.	Marking criteria	Marks allocated
1.	Knowledge of facts	10
2.	Knowledge of law	10
3.	Proper and articulate analysis	10
4.	Evidence of original thoughts	10
5.	Grammar and style	10
6.	Correct format and citation	10
7.	Extent and use of research	20
8.	Clarity and organization	20
	Total	100

Researcher Test:

A researcher test will be conducted. The paper will contain Objective questions relating to Moot Proposition. The test will be of total 50 marks.

- (i) Each team shall comprise of two (2) speakers, as has been specified earlier.
- (ii) Court language shall be English only.
- (iii) Each team will have a maximum of thirty (35) minutes to present their Oral Submissions in Preliminary Round. No speaker will be permitted to address the Court for more than twenty (20 minutes). This includes the time the speaker addresses the Court during the rebuttal/sur-rebuttal. The maximum time for rebuttal/sur-rebuttal is five (5) minutes.
- (iv) The maximum time allotted in quarter-finals, semi-finals, and final shall be fifty (50) minutes for each team. No speaker shall be allowed to address the court for more than thirty (30) minutes. This includes the time the speaker addresses the court during rejoinder/sur-rejoinder.
- (v) At the commencement of each session of Oral Submissions each team must notify the Court Officer of the amount of time that the team reserves for their rejoinder/surrejoinder. A maximum of 5 minutes can be reserved for the rejoinder/sur-rejoinder.

- (vi) At the commencement of each session of Oral Submissions each team shall notify the Court Officer as to the division of time between the 2 speakers.
- (vii) If any speaker continues to speak after the completion of his/her time, he/she shall entail a penalty which shall be upon the discretion of the judges.
- (viii) The final decision as to the time structure and the right to rejoinder/sur-rejoinder will be that of the Bench Judges.
- (ix) Use of mobile phones or any other technical devices is strictly prohibited.
- (x) If at any instance a submission is made with any material in violation of the above clause and if any pictures, sketches, photos, cartoons, caricatures, audio film, video film, projector-slide or a computer-generated image is submitted or presented to the court, the teams shall be disqualified from the competition forthwith.
- (xi) During the course of the Oral Submissions no speaker shall neither reveal his/her identity nor the identity of their University / College by any means whatsoever.

(xii) Mooting Etiquettes: Only the respective team members, judges, bailiffs, and court room officer may be present in the Courtroom. No faculty designate or observer of participating Colleges/Institutions/Universities shall be allowed to join the mooting sessions.

Marking criteria for the arguments

(i) The oral submission of an individual speaker will be judged on the following grounds:

Sr. No.	Marking criteria	Marks allocated
1.	Appreciation and application of facts	10
2.	Identification and articulation of issues	10
3.	Application of legal principles	10
4.	Use of authorities and precedents	10
5.	Response to questions	10
6.	Presentation skills	10
7.	Clarity of thoughts	10
8.	Poise and demeanour	10
9.	Strategy	10
10.	Ingenuity	10
	Total	100

(ii) Each Judge shall adjudge each speaker for a total of 100 marks.

- (iii) Teams shall not reveal the name of their institutions or the names of the participants in the course of the Oral Arguments.
- (iv) A team shall be identified only by the Team Code and the participants shall be identified only by their Participant Codes.
- (v) The decision of the judges as to the marks allocated to any team shall be final.

NOTE: In case of rise in covid-19 cases and sudden lockdown, the competition will be converted into online mode and 50% registration amount will be refunded to the teams.



Awards

Winning Team Award:

 The winning team will receive a trophy and a cash prize of Rs 10,000

Runner-up Team Award:

 The 1st runner-up team will get a trophy and a cash prize of Rs 7,500

Award for the Best Speaker:

 The best speaker will get a trophy and a cash prize of Rs 2,100

Best Researcher Award:

 The best researcher will get a trophy and a cash prize of Rs 2,100

Best Memorial Award:

 The best memorial awardee will get a trophy and cash prize of Rs 2,100

A certificate for participation will be given to all the participants.

Miscellaneous & Disclaimer

Disclaimer

The Material in the Moot Court proposition is not intended to and does not attempt to resemble any incident or any person living or dead. All material in the problem is fictitious and any resemblance to any incident or person, if any, is not intended, but merely co-incidental.

Miscellaneous

- The organizers of this National Moot Court Competition may amend the Competition Rules. However, any such amendment shall be brought to the notice of the participating teams.
- All Participants are expected to maintain decorum in the Court during the competition and are expected to conduct themselves in a manner befitting the legal profession. The Organising Committee reserves the right to take appropriate action for any unethical. unprofessional and immoral conduct.
- If there is any dispute in regard to the interpretations of the rules or with respect to any matter related to the competition which is not contemplated in the rules, the decision of the Organizers shall be final and binding.

NOTE: Any query pertaining to the competition shall be sent to moct. /guschooloflaw@gmail.com by 12th october, 2021, Questions submitted /elarification sought through any other means other than by e-mail shall not be entertained. A consolidated response for all questions and clarifications shall be mailed to all participating teams on 12th october, 2021

Organizing Committee

Chief Patron

Prof (Dr.) Vijay Vir Singh

President, VGU Jaipur

Patron

Ms. Urmilla Ranka

Chairperson Ranka Public Charitable Trust

HON'BLE Justice Mr. J.K. Ranka

Former Judge, Rajasthan High Court

Convenor

Prof. (Dr.) N.L. Gurjar

Dean, VGU School of LAW 9783853344

Dr. Manisha Choudhary

Associate Dean of Management 9782781784

Dr. Venoo Rajpurohit

Associate Prof. (H.O.D of LAW)

8112245787

Organizing Committee

Co-Convenor

Dr. Suman Paliwal Assistant Professor 9649570777

Mrs. Rakhi Sharma Assistant Professor 9783287821

Student Convenor

Shubhankar Raj 9162579602

Student Co-Convenor

Raman Poonia 9783458949 **Neha Goenka** 8209331707

Faculty Co-ordinator

Mr. Ishan Atrey
Mr. Abhishek Bishnoi
Ms. Anjali Khandelwal
Ms. Priyanka saraogi
Mrs. Garima Dhaka
Mr. Purushendra Singh
Mr. Jyotirmoy Banerjee

Contact us:

Mr. Ishan Atrey
7737299718
ishan.atrey@vgu.ac.in
Mr. Abhishek Bishnoi
9783966020
abhishek.bishnoi@vgu.ac.in

Thank You

